Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,780	CROMWELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. J. Arbes	3729	
All Participants:	Status of Applicati	on:	
(1) Cheryl Eichstaedt, Reg # 50,761.	(3)		
(2) <u>C. J. Arbes</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 February 2006	Time: <u>1:30 EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18 and 19			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief such as the provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide varate record of the substance	a written summary of the subst of the interview, since the inter	ance
1			
Of arles			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants indicated that the reason for the cancellation of these above listed claims was that the Parent Application incorporated these claims directly into claims 23-31 of the Parent Application which has issued at a U.S. Patent. (Cf. accompanying Examiner's Amendment).